# Morphological Compartmentalization of CTNNB1 Mutation to Glands and/or Squamous Morules in Endometrial



Endometrioid Carcinoma: Practical Implications for Using  $\beta$ -catenin IHC to Guide



Localization of DNA Sampling for Mutational Analysis

Lynelle P. Smith MD<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Knoeckel MD PhD<sup>1</sup>, Margaret Black MD<sup>1</sup>, Jamie Sheren PhD<sup>1</sup>, Bradley R Corr MD<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca J. Wolsky MD<sup>1</sup>

Departments of Pathology<sup>1</sup>, Obstetrics & Gynecology<sup>2</sup>, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO

### Background

In low risk endometrial endometrioid carcinomas (EEC), *CTNNB1* mutation (mut) correlates with decreased recurrence-free survival.  $\beta$ -catenin ( $\beta$ -cat) IHC can be used as a surrogate marker for *CTNNB1*mut. In EEC, squamous morules (SM) express nuclear  $\beta$ -cat IHC (n $\beta$ -cat+), yet n $\beta$ -cat IHC is negative or very focally positive in glandular components. We hypothesized that detection of *CTNNB1*mut in EEC depends on inclusion of n $\beta$ -cat+ tumor cells (including SM) in the area sampled for molecular testing.

## Design

With IRB exemption, 10 low grade EECs (FIGO grade 1 or 2), with n $\beta$ -cat+ SM were selected from a cohort of EEC cases previously sequenced for *CTNNB1* after tumor microdissection (MD) that was agnostic to inclusion/exclusion of n $\beta$ -cat+ cells or SM (agnostic MD). For this study, using  $\beta$ -cat IHC slides as a reference, two areas of each tumor were selectively microdissected (selective MD) (Figure 1):

- 1) Nuclear  $\beta$ -cat (+) squamous morules (n $\beta$ -cat+ SM)
- 2) Nuclear  $\beta$ -cat (–) glandular (n $\beta$ -cat neg gland)

Each of the selective MD foci (n=20) underwent Sanger sequencing for *CTNNB1*. Selective MD results were compared to agnostic MD results. The percent SM cellularity of total tumor area cell volume was estimated.

#### **Results**

In 5/10 EEC (Table 1, Cases #1-5), selective MD showed n $\beta$ -cat+ SM foci harbored *CTNNB1*mut, while n $\beta$ -cat neg gland were *CTNNB1* wild-type (WT) (For example, Figure 1). The agnostic MD had detected a *CTNNB1*mut in only 1 of these cases (Figure 2, A-D). In 3/10 EEC (Cases #6-8), identical *CTNNB1*mut were identified in n $\beta$ -cat+ SM as in n $\beta$ -cat neg gland; but on re-review, positive n $\beta$ -cat staining was identified in the selective MD areas intended to be limited to n $\beta$ -cat neg glands. The agnostic MD identified the same *CTNNB1*mut as selective MD in these cases (Figure 2, E-G). A *CTNNB1*mut was not found in the remaining 2 cases (Cases #9-10); in both, SM comprised <1% of the tumor.





Figure 1. <u>Case #2</u>. A) β-cat IHC with selective MD target areas of nβ-cat+ SM (blue pen) and nβ-cat neg gland (black pen) (whole silde image). B) Post MD hematoxylin-stained (whole silde image). C) Hematoxylin & eosin (HE) squamous morular component (blue box inset from A, 100x). D) HE glandular component (black box inset from A, 100x). E) β-cat IHC in the MD area with SM nuclear positivity (blue box inset from A, 400x).

Case Number	Squamous Morule (%)	Agnostic Microdissection Random tumor	Selective Microdissection	
			nβ-Cat (+) Squamous Morules	nβ-Cat (-) Glandular (intended)
1	8-10%	Wild-type	c.101G>A;p.G34E	Wild-type
2	5%	Wild-type	c.100G>A, p.G34R	Wild-type
3	5%	Wild-type	c.110C>A; p.S37Y	Wild-type
4	1%	Wild-type	c.110C>T;p.S37S	Wild-type
5	1-3%	c.98C>T; p.S33F	c.98C>T;p.S33F	Wild-type
6	15%	c.121A>G; p.T41A	c.121A>G; p.T41A	c.121A>G; p.T41A
7	15%	c.94G>T; p.D32Y	c.94G>T, p.D32Y	c.94G>T, p.D32Y
8	40%	c.122C>T; p.T41I	c.122C>T; p.T41I	c.122C>T; p.T41I
9	<1%	Wild-type	Wild-type	Wild-type
10	<1%	Wild-type	Wild-type	Wild-type

Table 1. CTNNB1 mutation status based on agnostic and selective microdissection



Figure 2. <u>Top row: case #5</u>. A) HE, agnostic MD area of random tumor (blue pen/white dashed line) (whole slide image). B) β-cat IHC with selective MD target areas of nβ-cat+ SM (blue pen), nβ-cat neg gland (black pen), and prior agnostic MD (white dashed line) (whole slide image). C/D) nβ-cat+ SM that were included in agnostic MD (200x). <u>Bottom row: case #6</u>. E) Selective MD area intended to be nβ-cat neg gland (black pen) (20x). F) Intenden dηβ-cat neg gland velicative MD area intended to be nβ-cat later discovered to include focal non-SM nuclear β-catenin positive glandular cells (yellow circles) (200x). G) HE in area of black box inset from E with β-cat positive glandular cells highlighted by yellow circles (200x).

### Conclusion

When present, the specific CTNNB1mut in any EEC was identical across all 3 MD areas, supporting that nβ-cat IHC (in glands or in SM) is indicative of CTNNB1 mutation. In 4 cases with agnostic MD yielding CTNNB1WT, the nβ-cat+ SM areas were enriched for CTNNB1mut, whereas previously the mutation was below the limit of detection. Studies correlating outcomes in EEC with CTNNB1 have not systematically addressed inclusion/exclusion of nβ-cat IHC+ foci/SM. It has been unclear whether to include SM in β-cat IHC scoring as a surrogate for CTNNB1mut, with suggestion that nβ-cat IHC positivity in SM is normal and thus potentially less significant. Our study shows that the detection of a CTNNB1mut depends on tumor area tested, and n
ß-cat IHC (including in SM) is a reliable map of CTNNB1 tumor heterogeneity. As CTNNB1 mutational status is incorporated into individual EEC prognostication, attention to the area of tumor sequenced is warranted. The results raise the possibility that n<sub>β</sub>-cat IHC may be a more informative assay than molecular testing, absent careful microdissection, but further studies are required.