|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scoring rubric for progress reports for the Pathways-RRT. The goal of scoring is two-fold: (1) to evaluate resident progress (e.g., be in a position to pursue NIH funding or a post-doctoral fellowship at the end of residency); and, (2) to provide constructive and actionable feedback to the resident.** | | | | |
| **Resident: Reviewer:** | | | | |
| **Please briefly describe the major accomplishments from the prior year including information on quality, significance/impact (e.g., number of abstracts, number of papers, etc.)** | | | | |
| **Major accomplishments:**  **Comments:** | | | | |
| **Please briefly describe the resident’s products in progress along with comments on clarity, feasibility and potential significance/impact** | | | | |
| **Products in progress:**  **Comments:** | | | | |
| **What is this Pathways-RRT resident’s current year?** | | | | |
| **PG2 year (2-3 months of research dedicated time)** | | | | |
| **0**  **No progress** | **1**  **Limited progress**  **(e.g., few or no research products during the first 18 months of residency, limited research plan development)** | **2**  **Good progress**  **(e.g., solid research planning with mentorship team. Has developed an area of research focus but limited number of research products. Published abstract(s) and presentation(s) at national conference. Submitted IRB protocol.)** | **3**  **Very good progress**  **(e.g., submitted a co-authored manuscript, applied for a small grant or research-related award. IRB approval obtained.)** | **4**  **Excellent progress**  **(e.g., submitted a first-authored manuscript such as a review of literature in area of focus, or multiple co-authored manuscripts, received small grant or local/national research-related award or beginning data collection project.)** |
| **PG3 year for child residents (1 day per week of research time)** | | | | |
| **0**  **No progress** | **1**  **Limited progress**  **(e.g., few or no research products during the first 30 months of residency, limited research plan development)** | **2**  **Good progress**  **(e.g., solid research planning with mentorship team. Has developed an area of research focus but limited number of research products. Published abstract(s) and presentation(s) at national conference.)** | **3**  **Very good progress**  **(e.g., submitted a co-authored manuscript, received a small grant or research-related award)** | **4**  **Excellent progress**  **(e.g., submitted a first-authored manuscript such as using a dataset from mentor’s lab, or multiple co-authored manuscripts, received small grant or local/national research-related award)** |
| **PG3 (adult; 50% research time)) or CR1 (child) year (40% research time)** | | | | |
| **0**  **No progress** | **1**  **Limited progress**  **(e.g., few or no research products during residency, limited research plan development)** | **2**  **Good progress**  **(e.g., submitted a co-authored manuscript, applied for a small grant or research-related award)** | **3**  **Very good progress**  **(e.g., submitted a first-authored manuscript, or multiple co-authored manuscripts, received small grant or local/national research-related award)** | **4**  **Excellent progress**  **(e.g., submitted multiple first authored manuscripts, or first authored manuscript plus multiple co-authored manuscripts, good development of research focus,** |
| **PG4 (adult; 50% research time) or CR2 (child) year (50% research time)** | | | | |
| **0**  **No progress** | **1**  **Limited progress**  **Low likelihood of being prepared to compete for a post-doc or training grant (fewer research products, limited recent publications)** | **2**  **Modest likelihood of being prepared to compete for a post-doc or training grant (e.g., remote history of productivity but limited progress with current mentorship team)** | **3**  **Highly likely to be prepared to compete for post-doctoral fellowship at residency completion (4-9 published papers, several first authored, solid productivity with current mentorship team, etc.)** | **4**  **Highly likely to be well-prepared to compete for a K award at the time of residency completion (e.g., 10+ published papers, multiple first authored manuscripts, good productivity with current mentorship team, development of research expertise in a high priority area, etc.)** |
| **Strengths:**  **Weaknesses:**  **Concrete recommendations for the resident:** | | | | |