Title: Abdominal Wall Reinforcement Using Acellular Tissue Matrix after Deep Inferior Epigastric Perforator Flap Harvest for Breast Reconstruction

Authors: Alec S. McCranie, BA<sup>1</sup>; Caitlin Blades, MS<sup>1</sup>; Steven Dawson, MD<sup>1</sup>; Julian Winocour, MD FACS<sup>1</sup>; David Mathes MD FACS<sup>1</sup>; Christodoulos Kaoutzanis MD FACS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus; Aurora, CO

# ABSTRACT Introduction

Deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap is a common method of autologous breast reconstruction. Abdominal wall bulges and hernias are known complications following DIEP flap harvest. Abdominal wall reinforcement (AWR) by synthetic meshes can decrease bulges by up to 70%, but such meshes have been associated with seromas and infections. Reinforced tissue matrix (RTM) is another material used for AWR. RTM can recruit fibroblasts, providing a scaffold for proliferation. This differs from the foreign body response caused by synthetic meshes. There is no literature on RTMs for AWR following DIEP flap harvest. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of RTMs for AWR following DIEP flap harvest.

#### Methods

A retrospective review was performed for patients undergoing unilateral or bilateral DIEP flaps for autologous breast reconstruction at our institution between 01/2020-12/2022. Patients were required to have completed at least 6 months of follow up. The primary outcome of interest was efficacy of RTM in reducing abdominal-wall-related complications. Descriptive statistics and univariate analysis were used.

### Results

A total of 152 patients met inclusion criteria. The mean age at the time of surgery was 51.7 years and BMI was 29.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. AWR was used in 48 (31.6%) patients of which 45 (93.8%) received RTM and 3 (6.3%) received synthetic mesh. A bulge/hernia developed in 16 (10.5%) patients. Of those, 1 (6.3%) received synthetic mesh, and none received RTM. Patients that received RTM were significantly less likely to develop a bulge/hernia post-operatively (P=0.006) as compared to patients without mesh. Additionally, RTM was associated with reduced rates of developing a seroma/hematoma when compared to synthetic mesh (8.9% vs. 100%, P=0.002) and RTM did not differ in seroma/hematoma rates compared to the no mesh cohort (8.9% vs. 5.8%, P=0.490).

#### Conclusion

This study demonstrates the effectiveness of RTMs in reducing the rate of bulges and hernias following DIEP flap harvest. Our findings also showed that RTMs may reduce the rates of post-operative seroma/hematoma when compared to synthetic meshes. Despite the small sample sizes, we find that these results highlight the safety and efficacy of RTM for AWR following DIEP flap harvest.

## References

1. Chang EI, Chang EI, Soto-Miranda MA, Zhang H, Nosrati N, Robb GL, et al. Comprehensive analysis of donor-site morbidity in abdominally based free flap breast reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013 Dec;132(6):1383-91.

2. Butler DP, Plonczak AM, Reissis D, Henry FP, Hunter JE, Wood SH, et al. Factors that predict deep inferior epigastric perforator flap donor site hernia and bulge. J Plast Surg Hand Surg. 2018 Dec;52(6):338-42.

3. Haddock NT, Culver AJ, Teotia SS. Abdominal weakness, bulge, or hernia after DIEP flaps: An algorithm of management, prevention, and surgical repair with classification. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2021 Sep;74(9):2194-201.

4. Jakeman M, Barnes J, Taghizadeh R. Prevention and management of post-deep inferior epigastric perforator flap abdominal bulge: A 5-year single-surgeon series. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2022 Oct;75(10):3683-9.