

# Clinical outcomes of Ceftriaxone 1 gram vs. 2 gram daily for the treatment of gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae bloodstream infections



Amal Alhadad, Arya Tehrani, Charisse Surio, Meghan Jeffres PharmD  
University of Colorado Anschutz Campus Skaggs School of Pharmacy

## Background

- Bloodstream infections (BSIs), especially gram-negative infections, have high mortality and morbidity<sup>1</sup>
- E. Coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are the most common organisms in gram-negative bacteremia<sup>2</sup>
- Even though The UCHHealth Antibiotic Guide recommend either a dose of 1 or 2 grams every 24 hours, data supporting either dosing regimen is both sparse and inconclusive<sup>3</sup>

## Methods

- Retrospective chart review of patients from January 1, 2018 through August 1, 2021 receiving either 1 g every 24 hours or 2 g every 24 hours of intravenous ceftriaxone for gram negative bloodstream infection
- Inclusion: Patients with gram-negative enterobacteriaceae BSI who received empiric ceftriaxone  $\geq 72$ h (N = 405)
- Exclusion: GN pathogen resistant to ceftriaxone, receipt of both 1 and 2 grams of ceftriaxone for treatment of index GN BSI
- Primary outcome: frequency of treatment failure 72 hours post initiation of therapy
- Secondary outcomes: frequency of CDI, 30-day mortality, and 30-day infection-related readmission

## Results

Table 1. Baseline Patient Characteristics

| Variable                        | Ceftriaxone 1g IV q24h, n =168 | Ceftriaxone 2g IV q24h, n =237 | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years $\pm$ SD             | 64.2 $\pm$ 19.1                | 67.2 $\pm$ 16.7                | .10     |
| Female, n (%)                   | 125 (74.4)                     | 154 (65.0)                     | .01     |
| Height, cm $\pm$ SD             | 164.3 $\pm$ 12.3               | 167.1 $\pm$ 11.3               | .02     |
| Weight, kg $\pm$ SD             | 77.1 $\pm$ 21.3                | 83.2 $\pm$ 25.0                | .01     |
| BMI $\pm$ SD                    | 29.1 $\pm$ 14.4                | 29.7 $\pm$ 8.9                 | .61     |
| Race, n (%)                     |                                |                                | .00     |
| Caucasian or White              | 97 (57.7)                      | 184 (77.6)                     |         |
| African American or Black       | 26 (15.5)                      | 8 (3.4)                        |         |
| Other/Unknown                   | 45 (26.8)                      | 45 (19.0)                      |         |
| Quick Pitt Score, mean $\pm$ SD | 0.79 $\pm$ 0.90                | 0.77 $\pm$ 0.92                | .88     |
| Source of infection, n (%)      |                                |                                | .74     |
| Respiratory                     | 4 (2.4)                        | 2 (0.9)                        |         |
| Urinary                         | 142 (84.5)                     | 197 (83.8)                     |         |
| CVC/other intravascular device  | 1 (0.6)                        | 1 (0.4)                        |         |
| Other                           | 15 (8.9)                       | 25 (10.6)                      |         |
| Unknown                         | 6 (3.6)                        | 10 (4.3)                       |         |
| Empiric duration, days $\pm$ SD | 3.9 $\pm$ 1.3                  | 4.7 $\pm$ 2.5                  | .00     |
| Organism, n (%)                 | Ceftriaxone 1g IV q24h, n =168 | Ceftriaxone 2g IV q24h, n =237 | P value |
| Escherichia coli                | 148 (88.1)                     | 196 (82.7)                     | .16     |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae           | 20 (11.9)                      | 31 (13.1)                      | .76     |
| Proteus spp.                    | 0 (0.0)                        | 2 (0.8)                        | .51     |
| Enterobacter cloacae complex    | 0 (0.0)                        | 1 (0.4)                        | 1.00    |
| Other                           | 1 (0.6)                        | 10 (4.2)                       | .03     |

Table 2. Outcomes

| Variable                                      | Ceftriaxone 1g IV q24h, n =168 | Ceftriaxone 2g IV q24h, n =237 | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Early clinical failure, n (%) <sup>a</sup>    | 28 (16.7)                      | 50 (21.1)                      | .31     |
| Temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$             | 19 (11.3)                      | 29 (12.2)                      | .88     |
| Hemodynamic support                           | 1 (0.6)                        | 5 (2.1)                        | .41     |
| Respiratory Support                           | 5 (3.0)                        | 14 (5.9)                       | .23     |
| Transfer to ICU                               | 6 (3.6)                        | 11 (4.6)                       | .80     |
| Composite secondary outcomes, n (%)           | 9 (5.4)                        | 21 (8.9)                       | .25     |
| 30-day mortality, n (%)                       | 2 (1.2)                        | 8 (3.4)                        | .21     |
| Infectious cause of 30-day readmission, n (%) | 7 (4.2)                        | 13 (5.5)                       | .65     |
| CDI infection w/n 60 days of discharge, n (%) | 0 (0.0)                        | 1 (0.4)                        | 1.00    |

## Discussion

- No difference in early clinical failure between empiric ceftriaxone 1 g and 2 g in GN BSI
- Ceftriaxone 1 g and 2 g groups were associated with similar 30-day mortality, 30-day infection related readmission, and CDI
- Pyelonephritis was the predominant source of GNI in both groups, higher daily dose is not needed to achieve similar clinical outcomes as 1 g
- Ceftriaxone 2 g daily can be used empirically without higher risk of CDI compared to 1 g daily
- Limitations include retrospective design, single center study

## References

- Alexandraki I, Palacio C. Gram-negative versus Gram-positive bacteremia: what is more alarmin(g)? *Crit Care*. 2010;14(3):161. doi:10.1186/cc9013
- Foster MT Jr. Ceftriaxone in treatment of serious infections. *Septicemia. Hosp Pract (Off Ed)*. 1991;26 Suppl 5:43-63. doi:10.1080/21548331.1991.11707743
- UCHHealth—AMC Antimicrobial Stewardship Guide (2021)