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Abstract

Purpose:

- To compare maternity care outcomes between urban, rural, and frontier facilities
- To investigate population, facility, or clinical care intervention variations that could account for differences among rural hospitals' maternity care outcomes

Methods:

Colorado Birth Certificate Data from 2016-2018 was used to compare average percentages of adverse maternity care outcomes at urban, rural, and frontier facilities. High and low performing quartiles of facilities were identified by heat mapping. Facility, population, and clinical care intervention differences were compared between high and low performing rural facilities.

Results:

Rural facilities in Colorado reported worse average percentages of adverse maternity care outcomes compared to urban facilities. Variation in adverse maternity care outcomes among rural facilities also existed. When compared to high performing rural hospitals, low performing rural hospitals averaged fewer augmented labors, and more vaginal forceps use, clinical chorioamnionits, unplanned hysterectomies, admissions of the mother to the intensive care unit, meconium aspiration, and hypoglycemia in the infant. Mothers who delivered babies at low performing hospitals tended to live at a higher elevation, even more often identified as non-white race, reported consuming more alcohol during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters, and were more often diagnosed with eclampsia and HELLP Syndrome.

Conclusions:

This hypothesis-generating study suggests there were worse maternity care outcomes at rural facilities compared to urban facilities in Colorado and some rural Colorado hospitals may perform better than others. However, due to numerous limitations this cannot be definitively concluded without additional research.

There was no funding used in support of this research and the author has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Background

- One in five people in the United States live in a rural community
- Nearly half a million babies are born in rural hospitals each year
- From 2007 to 2015 rural residents had a 9% greater probability of severe maternal morbidity and mortality, compared with urban residents
- Challenges to rural communities in overcoming these health disparities include – keeping rural hospitals open
- – greater distances to travel for care with limited access to reliable transportation
- – lower socioeconomic population in rural areas
- – Rural areas, like urban areas, have disparities in maternity care outcomes by race and ethnicity
- – Rural communities across the United States are quite heterogeneous

Not all rural communities have worse maternity care outcomes than their urban counterparts, but previous research has not clearly identified why

Methods

- De-identified exempt by the University of Colorado Institutional Review Board
- De-identified Colorado Birth Certificate Data from 2016-2018 identified 193,114 births over this period of which 175,797 were urban, 15,878 were rural, and 1,430 were frontier.
- 30 frontier and rural facilities were identified as having delivered babies during this time, but 6 were so low volume (<5 deliveries) that they were excluded as uninformative results, resulting in 24 facilities included in this study
- Variables from the Colorado Birth Certificate data were categorized as either adverse birth outcomes or population and facility characteristics, and clinical care interventions (Table 1).
- Using SAS statistical software, average percentages of birth outcomes were calculated for frontier, rural, and urban facilities in aggregate and then by facility.
- The urban data was used as the standard of care against which the rural parameters were compared
- This standard of care average (urban average percent) for each adverse birth outcomes was used to create a heat map that sorted the rural and frontier facility rates into equal or better than, and worse than categories
- Each adverse outcome was weighted equally

Results

Table 1: Definition of Variables as Adverse Maternal Care Outcomes, Facility Characteristics, Population Characteristics, and Clinical Care Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Outcomes</th>
<th>Facility Characteristics</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Clinical Care Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Translations</td>
<td>Facility Type: (hospital, home, or other)</td>
<td>Mother's residency elevation</td>
<td>Induction of labor standards for fear of maternal emergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd or 4th degree</td>
<td>Median delivery location</td>
<td>Mother's weight gain during pregnancy</td>
<td>Antenatal care received by mother during labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal laceration</td>
<td>Rural or frontier location</td>
<td>Mother's smoking before and during pregnancy</td>
<td>Clinical chorioamnionitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuptured uterus</td>
<td>Vaginal birth after cesarean section</td>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>Epidural or spinal anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned hysterectomy</td>
<td>Allowed (percent MDCD at delivery)</td>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Maternal sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal admission to ICU</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic ethnicity</td>
<td>Neonatal intensive care unit mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cord prolapse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Born outside of United States</td>
<td>Neonatal intensive care unit mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics for suspected neonatal sepsis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal marital status</td>
<td>Neonatal intensive care unit mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant replacement therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Insurance type</td>
<td>Neonatal intensive care unit mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia of newborn</td>
<td></td>
<td>WIC receipt</td>
<td>Neonatal intensive care unit mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Percent of Births with Adverse Maternity Care Outcomes Among Urban, Rural, and Frontier Facilities in Colorado from 2016-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>county</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Frontier</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>newborn for &gt;6 hours</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profesional delivery of newborn</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Ventilation of newborn</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Transitions</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Translations</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction of labor</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
<td>1.13%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of Facility Characteristics of High, Mid, and Low Performing Rural and Frontier Facilities in Colorado from 2016-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>High Performing Facilities</th>
<th>Mid Performing Facilities</th>
<th>Low Performing Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Delivery Volume</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Deliveries Home Birth</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of Population Characteristics at High and Low Performing Rural and Frontier Hospitals in Colorado from 2016-2018 with a 1 Standard Deviation Difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>High Performing Hospitals Average</th>
<th>Low Performing Hospitals Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Age (years)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Race (% white)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Alcohol Use (% 1st trimester)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Alcohol Use (% 2nd trimester)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Postpartum Follow-up Visit</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

Urban and Rural Frontier Facility Maternity Care Outcomes

- Rural facilities generally had a higher average percentage of births with adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes than urban facilities
- Urban facilities had a greater average percent of births with newborns that required assisted ventilation for greater than 6 hours and admissions of newborns to the neonatal intensive care unit
- This suggests urban facilities are appropriately delivering higher risk pregnancies rather than rural or frontier facilities
- Frontier facilities had fewer adverse maternity care outcomes than rural facilities
- This suggests they are keeping lower risk pregnancies and sending higher risk pregnancies to facilities with more resources

Facility Characteristics of High versus Low Performing Rural Maternity Care Facilities

- Tended to be frontier rather than rural
- Lower volumes of births
- More likely to have a nurse midwife attendant
- Likely suggests these facilities are appropriately triaging their patients and only delivering lower risk pregnancies

Population Characteristics of High and Low Performing Rural Maternity Care Facilities

- Facilities with patients who lived at a higher elevation had more complications
- This is consistent with prior literature that demonstrates an increased risk for pre-term births and neonatal respiratory distress in infants born to mothers who live at high elevations
- High performing facilities tended to have a white population (92.46% vs 81.53%)
- This is consistent with prior studies that have demonstrated disparities in maternity care outcomes based on race in both urban and rural centers
- Alcohol use was reported slightly higher in the 2nd and 3rd trimesters among mothers at the low performing facilities, but the same pre-pregnancy and in the 1st trimester
- This may be a modifiable risk factor possibly improved with more patient education
- Eclampsia and HELLP syndrome were higher among the low performing facilities' patient populations despite no difference in risk factors such as hypertension/ gestational hypertension, diabetes/gestational diabetes, age, or weight gain in the low and high performing facilities

Clinical Interventions of High versus Low Performing Rural Maternity Care Facilities

- Higher performing facilities were more likely to augment labor and thus likely reduce further complications

Limitations

- Prior studies have shown that birth certificate data is not always reliable or filled out consistently
- This study assumed urban hospitals as equivalent to the standard of care
- Reported adverse maternity care outcomes were very rare at rural and frontier facilities which may skew the found differences between rural and frontier facilities
- Adverse maternity care outcomes were weighted equally when determining the top and lower quartile performing rural and frontier facilities for maternity care which may have results in one facility causing a facility to perform worse in 2-3 related adverse maternity care outcomes
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