

Rural Injury Providers' Experience with Trauma Clinical Guidance – A Qualitative Case Series



University of Colorado
Anschutz Medical Campus

Andrew Steiner, BS¹; Michael Person, MD, MPH, FACS²; Darren Bowe, MD³; Alyssa Johnson, MSN, RN⁴; Gabriella Zavala Wong, MD⁵; Kirsten Senturia, PhD⁶; Ashley Moreno, MS⁷; Lacey LaGrone, MD, MPH, FACS⁸

1 – University of Colorado School of Medicine at Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO; 2 – Univ. of South Dakota, Sioux Falls, SD; 3 – St. Patrick Hospital, Missoula MT; 4 – Montana Dept. of Public Health & Human Services, Helena MT; 5 – Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia Sociedad de Cirujanos Generales del Peru, Lima, Peru; 6 – University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle WA; 7 – Coalition for National Trauma Research, San Antonio TX; 8 – Medical Center of the Rockies, uc health, Loveland, CO

Background

- **Unintentional Injury is the leading cause of death for persons aged 1 – 44** resulting in more than 3.4 million yrs of potential life lost¹
- A significant portion of these deaths could be prevented if all hospitals performed as well as highest-performing hospitals²
- One component of improving trauma and injury care is awareness of & adherence to Trauma Clinical Guidance (TCG)^{3,4}
- The **current landscape of TCG is vast**. Guidance is difficult to find, variably updated, absent, inaccessible or perceived as unhelpful
- TCG is often NOT written for rural trauma providers, often representing many different specialties (Surgical, EM, FM, anes, etc.)
- **Specific Aim:** We sought to understand perspectives of a diverse group of rural trauma providers on their use and relevance of TCGs to their clinical practice and suggested improvements

Methods

- **Initial exploratory qualitative** cross-sectional case series with five semi-structured interviews with diverse set of rural trauma providers



- Recorded & Transcribed verbatim, followed by iterative **thematic analysis** by study personnel
- Using an **interview guide**, clinicians provided information about their practice environment, use and perspective of trauma clinical guidance, thoughts for improvement and a real-time review of an existing guideline
- **Quantitative** work will continue as part of the DFI conference series.
- We evaluated TCGs from the following orgs:



Results

- Interviewed five providers. Three provide care at a critical access hospital, one provides care at a Level II trauma center, and one at a Level III trauma center.
- The providers represent the west coast, mountain-west and Midwest regions (1, 3 and 1 respectively)
- Two are surgeons (TACS & GS/TACS); one is an EM physician; one is a CRNA; and one is an FM/EM physician leader
- Two interviewees (surgeons) mentioned they do not use clinical guidance often in direct patient care; three highlighted the use of ATLS as the foundation of their practice upon which they expand their tools and training.
- Common requests of TCG from rural providers included the following (see Table 1 for examples).
 - 1) visual components to guide workflow at the bedside, 2) easy discoverability in a central place,
 - 3) a centralized 'stamp of approval,' for guidelines that have been mutually agreed on via extensive collaboration, 4) relevant across various resource settings and lastly 5) transfer guidance.

Table 1. Summary of Rural Provider Needs from Clinical Guidance

Rural Provider Guidance Need	Example / Explanation
Visual component or a flow diagram that helps to walk through step-by-step how manage a trauma patient. (Or at least a summary table of recommendations for injury type)	"A picture is much easier to decipher" "The visual graphic helps support my decision making" and "helps consider things I may be missing"
Readily discoverable and aggregated centrally	"So, I can find the most relevant guidance for the patient in front of me without having to search for it." "App based" akin to "trauma.com" for ease of access and "not having to scour all the associations and journals"
Endorsement from a centralized body or organization	the American College of Surgeons (ACS) could be "the stamp of approval." to ensure it is meeting validity, collaboration and other needs
Mutual agreement between professional societies	"I would rather just have a conversation with the expert and do what they want me to do instead of leaving ourselves open to criticism and liability I would rather share the responsibility"
Resource-relevant / stratified based on the typical resources available (imaging, surgical intervention)	Participant: "If there's a guideline, it should be evidence-based, and evidence-based practice shouldn't vary between providers so guidelines should be identical between providers [...] the best way to stratify is by resources available; make the guidelines "tiered". Interviewer: "So I hear you saying, "Boil down the guidelines to things that are necessary to do in a rural setting that will not delay transfer to definitive care."?" Participant: "Yes, that's exactly right!" Without this "tiering", guidance is relevant primarily in high-resource settings. Furthermore, in settings with limited or variable resources, this adds to moral distress and potential liability and risk to rural providers.
Provide information for how to counsel patients who indicate a preference to stay in their community.	It would be helpful to have guidance on how best to discuss or encourage transfer when patients are hesitant, or against patient preference; as one provider put it the "transfer just in case" scenario.

Conclusions

- Rural trauma providers needs should be a focal point when working to improve the creation and dissemination of trauma clinical guidance.
- **Collaboration between medical societies is essential when creating new Trauma Clinical Guidelines.**
- By intentionally designing for the rural population, we will increase the reach and impact of the guidance developed, as well as **improve its accessibility and usability for all providers**, regardless of resource setting.

Implications

- Through these efforts we hope to **decrease the disparate burden of trauma and unintentional injury on rural patients** and their healthcare providers and **increase the visibility of existing TCGs**
- Incorporating these suggestions into TCGs is one means to limiting preventable deaths, increasing the utility of TCGs for not just rural providers
- **Making TCG more generalizable beyond level 1 trauma centers will also reduce the risk of moral injury among rural trauma providers who are not able to provide concordant care due to resource limitations**

Disclosures

- No conflicts to disclose
- Support for this work comes in part from the DFI conference series under Coalition for National Trauma (CNTR)

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) [online]. 2024. (accessed 11/1/23)
2. Mackenzie EJ, Rivara FP, Jurkovich GJ, et al. A National Evaluation of the Effect of Trauma-Center Care on Mortality. New England Journal of Medicine. 2006;354(4):366-378. doi:10.1156/NEJMed052044
3. LaGrone LN, Stein DM, et al. Equitable and effective clinical guidance development and dissemination: trauma aims to lead the way. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open. 2024 Dec 20;9(1):e001338. doi:10.1136/tsaco-2022-001338
4. Rice TW, Morris S, Tortella BJ, et al. Deviations from evidence-based clinical management guidelines increase mortality in critically injured trauma patients. Critical Care Medicine 40(3):p 778-786, March 2012. | DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318238f166