Psychiatric Outcomes Following Ketamine Administration

University of Colorado

Anschutz Medical Campus

for Orthopedic Surgical Anesthesia

Alec E. Mansour, Elijah W. Hale, Daniel S. Saks

University of Colorado School of Medicine

For the full paper and citations in *Frontiers in Anesthesiology*, scan here:



Introduction

- What is ketamine and what are the common medical settings in which we see its use?
- Ketamine has different indications and effects in the psychiatric and anesthetic worlds.
- What are the negative side effects seen in its use?
- We looked at its use in orthopedic surgery and observed the psychiatric side effects of various patient populations.

Methods

- This was a retrospective analysis of the TriNetX health database looking specifically at patients undergoing orthopedic surgeries with anesthesia.
- We used CPT codes to identify patients having surgery on various joints/bones including but not limited to spine, shoulder, hip, and knee.
- We then performed four total group analyses between cohorts of patients receiving ketamine and cohorts not receiving ketamine.
- We had three sets of analysis based on age stratification and one ageless: pediatric (<18 years), adult (18–60 years), elderly (>60 years), and a reference analysis of all patients.
- We observed and compared outcomes in the 30-day postoperative period involving diagnoses of various psychiatric-related disorders.

Results



- Figure 1 contains the odds ratio for each outcome of interest, where numbers <1 indicate a reduced risk and numbers >1 indicating increased risks, and asterisks denoting a statistically significant difference.
- Eleven of 15 outcomes had results for specific events, including cohort size, absolute risk, odds ratio with 95% confidence interval, and p-values are compiled in Table 1.

- In 406,384 patients studied, nearly every measured event displayed an increased risk for patients receiving ketamine as part of their anesthesia.
- Apart from anhedonia, which had a decreased risk of occurrence, every event displayed increased incidence in at least two of the cohorts.
- For all but one event, nicotine use, the significant differences between groups were in concordance with each other.

Figure 1. Odds ratio comparing cohorts receiving ketamine to matched peer cohorts. *Asterisked* values indicate a statistically significant 95% CI.

Implications/Considerations

Conclusions

Nearly every measured event displayed

an increased risk for patients receiving

Anhedonia and nicotine use were the

There were different major outcomes for

differences in the outcomes for pediatric

Ketamine should be investigated further

corresponding psychiatric outcomes as

in different age groups and with

current research is still limited.

different age groups. Considerable

ketamine in at least 2 cohorts.

major outliers.

vs. elderly.

- Cohorts with "no difference" or minor risk changes as a result of pre-test probability of disease given age.
- Differences between groups could also be explained by difference in the administration of ketamine and the nature of orthopedic procedures.
- Those without disease were excluded from the start, again possibly changing outcomes.
- Not to change practice as a result of this, but to keep in mind as future data arises.

Disclosures

 I declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

