

- 1 **Title:**
- 2 Effects of aprepitant on post-operative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing cardiac
- 3 surgery or catheterization procedures: A prospective study with subjects as their own historical
- 4 control

5 **Abstract**

6 *Introduction*

7 For a subset of patients undergoing cardiac surgery and catheterization procedures, severe
8 post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) occurs despite maximum, standard anti-emetic
9 interventions.

10 Aprepitant, a neurokinin-1 (NK-1) receptor blocker, is safe and effective at preventing
11 PONV resistant to standard therapies.^{1,2} At our institution, this medication is generally limited to
12 oncology patients receiving highly emetogenic chemotherapy and patients undergoing bariatric
13 surgery.^{3,4} Its broader use has been limited due to cost.

14

15 *Hypothesis*

16 Our study evaluated the incidence of PONV and PONV-related complications after
17 administration of pre-operative oral aprepitant to patients with a history of severe PONV
18 undergoing cardiac surgery or catheterization procedures. We hypothesized this patient
19 population would experience less PONV and fewer PONV-related complications after aprepitant
20 treatment compared to their prior anesthetics.

21

22 *Methods*

23 Patients with a history of severe PONV presenting for cardiac surgery or catheterization
24 procedures from 1/1/2018 to 6/1/2021 were identified. After pharmacist approval, patients
25 received aprepitant pre-operatively (Dose: 80mg for weight>50kg, 40mg for weight 30-50kg). A
26 retrospective chart review was performed. Primary outcomes of the incidence of PONV and
27 PONV-related complications were evaluated with descriptive statistics.

Results

Seventeen patients were included with a mean age of 16.0 years at the time of their initial procedure, which acted as the ‘control’ procedure, and 17.5 years when they received aprepitant. After the control procedure 73.3% of patients required rescue anti-emetics. When this group of patients received aprepitant pre-operatively at their subsequent procedure, only 18.8% required rescue medication ($p = 0.004$). Similarly, 76.5% of patients suffered at least one PONV-related complication after the control procedure. With aprepitant use pre-operatively, 29.4% of the same patients experienced a PONV-complication ($p = 0.015$). Specifically, unplanned ICU admission due to severe PONV after catheterization procedures decreased from 44.4% (4/9) in the control group to 0 after these patients were treated pre-emptively with aprepitant. ($p=0.02$)

Discussion/Conclusion

Debilitating PONV occurs in some patients despite multiple pre-emptive measures. Cardiac catheterization is associated with unique PONV-related complications: hematoma formation, prolonged “flat time,” and bleeding from catheterization sites. Patients undergoing sternotomy for cardiac surgery are at significant risk for PONV due to post-operative narcotic requirements, even with multi-modal pain control strategies. Thus, finding new treatment strategies for PONV in this patient population is important.

Our small study provides insight into the benefit aprepitant can provide to patients at high risk for PONV undergoing cardiac procedures. Given the reduced rate of PONV with we observed with aprepitant use, other markers of post-op recovery can be expected to improve and should be explored. There may be significant cost savings by preventing unplanned ICU

50 admissions for intractable PONV, which could offset the cost of using aprepitant more widely in
51 this patient population.