## COMPARISON OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF RESEARCH, QUALITY IMPROVEMENT, AND PROGRAM EVALUATION ACTIVITIES

Use the chart below if you have questions whether your project should be considered a Research, Quality Improvement activity, or Program Evaluation. If your project satisfies any of the conditions in the Research column, it should be submitted to COMIRB for review prior to implementation. COMIRB cannot provide retroactive approval after your research project commences. If you would like assistance in evaluating your project, contact <a href="mailto:comirched-ucdent-commencescoil-commence

	RESEARCH	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	PROGRAM EVALUATION	COMMENTS
FUNDING	Funded by a research grant, award or contract, or unfunded.  If funded as research, all activities supported by the funding must be considered research.	Typically unfunded. May be funded by awards specifically for quality improvement; confirm IRB requirements, if any, with funder.	Often funded by a grant, award or contract for the purpose of developing or improving a service program. If the funding specifically requires evaluation of the program, the evaluation component may be considered research; confirm with funder. May also be unfunded.	
INTENT	To develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.	To improve a specific business practice. In a hospital, this may include improving the quality and/or consistency of care in a specific unit or the entire hospital.	To evaluate the effectiveness of a specific program in meeting the intended goals of the program.	
DESIGN	<ul> <li>The methodologies for conducting Resea Differential aspects are provided below as</li> <li>Hypothesis driven</li> <li>Statistically rigorous</li> <li>May involve a placebo</li> <li>May involve significant deviation from usual care or standard practice</li> <li>Multi-site or single-site</li> <li>May evaluate investigational drugs or devices</li> </ul>	Often designed as part of a cyclical program to implement, test and evaluate modest improvements in the delivery of care, or in some other business process, e.g., Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI), Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA)     May or may not be hypothesis driven     Usually involves modest improvements to usual care or standard practice     Rarely multi-site     Never evaluates investigational drugs or devices	Designed to evaluate whether the program was successful, and/or whether it should continue     May be multisite if evaluating a single program at multiple sites	

PUBLICATION	Publication alone does not define an activity as research. Differential aspects are provided below as a guideline.			
	Clear intent to publish results as research (e.g., in scientific journal, research poster/abstract, or other research/scientific fora).  Publishing is presumed as part of professional, scholarly expectations and obligations.	Project results will be disseminated internally (e.g., within the institution, department, or practice) soon after project completion to determine if the change improved delivery of care or another business practice, and to inform business decisions and operations.  If methodology or results are interesting, results may be published. Publication must note that the project was carried out as QI, and did not meet the definition of research per DHHS regulations. The project may not be described as research.	Intent to publish or present results generally presumed at the outset of the project.  Evaluation results will be provided to the program owner and stakeholders, and to the funder.  Unless the evaluation was carried out as research with IRB approval, any publication should note that the project was carried out as Program Evaluation, and did not meet the definition of research per DHHS regulations. The project may not be described as research.	
MANDATE or ENDORSEMENT	Activities conducted to fulfill academic obligations to conduct and publish research, to complete a research project as graduation requirements, or as defined by a funding award.	Project is endorsed or mandated by the institution or clinic as part of CQI operations.  Project may be mandated by educational requirements (e.g., requirement to design and complete a QI project).  To document endorsement, COMIRB may ask for a letter of support from the head of the involved clinic or department, acknowledging the project as QI.	Activity endorsed or mandated by program owner and funder.	
IMPACT	Findings of the study are not expected to immediately and directly affect institutional or programmatic practice.	Findings of the project are expected to immediately and directly improve an institutional practice.	Findings of the evaluation are expected to immediately and directly demonstrate the success and/or shortcomings of the program.	
POPULATION	Carefully defined through individual inclusion and exclusion criteria in the research protocol.  Participation is voluntary.	Generally includes all participants of the practice in which improvements are being implemented (e.g., all patients and providers in a specific practice).  Participation may or may not be voluntary.	Generally includes all stakeholders of the program being evaluated (e.g., all program clients, staff, and leaders).  Participation in the evaluation may be voluntary for some but mandatory for others.	

QA Program Evaluation Research Tool CF-195, Effective 6-5-20

University of Colorado
Denver | Anschutz Medical Campus
Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board (COMIRB)

BENEFITS TO	Primary benefit is from the scientific	All participants are expected to benefit	Program clients are expected to benefit from	
PARTICIPANTS	knowledge gained. Individual	directly from the QI intervention.	participation in the program.	
	participants may or may not benefit			
	directly. Benefits to others (e.g., future		Participants will not directly benefit from the	
	patients, society) is not generally		evaluation of the program.	
	immediate.			

This table may also be used as a tool to conduct and document a self-evaluation of the project. In that case, the project leader should indicate above where the project fits on each row. If any of the boxes in the research column are checked then the project must be submitted to COMIRB for review and approval. If the tool indicates that this is quality improvement (QI) or program evaluation (PE) only, complete the rest of this form, obtain any necessary signatures, and keep this in your project records.

<u>Acknowledgment</u>				
I have appropriately used this tool	to evaluation my pr	roject entitled:		
By my signature below, I affirm the	nat this project meet	s the definition of:		
Circle the appropriate term	n: Quality	Improvement	Program Evaluation	on
•	the definition of hu			. If during the course of the project it is R 56 then I understand that I must submit to
Signature of Project Leader	Date	Signature of Mento	r (if applicable)	Date
I have reviewed this project propo an appropriate project to be condu		-	• •	program evaluation as outlined above and is
Signature of Appropriate Authorit (or their designee)	y T	Title/Position	Date	

QA Program Evaluation Research Tool CF-195, Effective 6-5-20